

# AGREEMENT ON EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON TAX MATTERS

#### AGREEMENT ON EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON TAX MATTERS

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The purpose of this Agreement is to promote international co-operation in tax matters through exchange of information.
- 2. The Agreement was developed by the OECD Global Forum Working Group on Effective Exchange of Information ("the Working Group"). The Working Group consisted of representatives from OECD Member countries as well as delegates from Aruba, Bermuda, Bahrain, Cayman Islands, Cyprus, Isle of Man, Malta, Mauritius, the Netherlands Antilles, the Seychelles and San Marino.
- 3. The Agreement grew out of the work undertaken by the OECD to address harmful tax practices. See the 1998 OECD Report "Harmful Tax Competition: An Emerging Global Issue" (the "1998 Report"). The 1998 Report identified "the lack of effective exchange of information" as one of the key criteria in determining harmful tax practices. The mandate of the Working Group was to develop a legal instrument that could be used to establish effective exchange of information. The Agreement represents the standard of effective exchange of information for the purposes of the OECD's initiative on harmful tax practices.
- 4. This Agreement is not a binding instrument but contains two models for bilateral agreements drawn up in the light of the commitments undertaken by the OECD and the committed jurisdictions. In this context, it is important that financial centres throughout the world meet the standards of tax information exchange set out in this document. As many economies as possible should be encouraged to co-operate in this important endeavour. It is not in the interest of participating economies that the implementation of the standard contained in the Agreement should lead to the migration of business to economies that do not co-operate in the exchange of information. To avoid this result requires measures to defend the integrity of tax systems against the impact of a lack of co-operation in tax information exchange matters. The OECD members and committed jurisdictions have to engage in an ongoing dialogue to work towards implementation of the standard. An adequate framework will be jointly established by the OECD and the committed jurisdictions for this purpose particularly since such a framework would help to achieve a level playing field where no party is unfairly disadvantaged.
- 5. The Agreement is presented as both a multilateral instrument and a model for bilateral treaties or agreements. The multilateral instrument is not a "multilateral" agreement in the traditional sense. Instead, it provides the basis for an integrated bundle of bilateral treaties. A Party to the multilateral Agreement would only be bound by the Agreement vis- à-vis the specific parties with which it agrees to be bound. Thus, a party wishing to be bound by the multilateral Agreement must specify in its instrument of ratification, approval or acceptance the party or parties vis-à-vis which it wishes to be so bound. The Agreement then enters into force, and creates rights and obligations, only as between those parties that have mutually identified each other in their instruments of ratification, approval or acceptance that have been deposited with the depositary of the Agreement. The bilateral version is intended to serve as a model for bilateral exchange of information agreements. As such, modifications to the text may be agreed in bilateral agreements to implement the standard set in the model.

- 6. As mentioned above, the Agreement is intended to establish the standard of what constitutes effective exchange of information for the purposes of the OECD's initiative on harmful tax practices. However, the purpose of the Agreement is not to prescribe a specific format for how this standard should be achieved. Thus, the Agreement in either of its forms is only one of several ways in which the standard can be implemented. Other instruments, including double taxation agreements, may also be used provided both parties agree to do so, given that other instruments are usually wider in scope.
- 7. For each Article in the Agreement there is a detailed commentary intended to illustrate or interpret its provisions. The relevance of the Commentary for the interpretation of the Agreement is determined by principles of international law. In the bilateral context, parties wishing to ensure that the Commentary is an authoritative interpretation might insert a specific reference to the Commentary in the text of the exchange instrument, for instance in the provision equivalent to Article 4, paragraph 2.

#### II. TEXT OF THE AGREEMENT

#### **MULTILATERAL VERSION**

#### **BILATERAL VERSION**

	The	Par	ties to	this	Ag	reemen	t, des	iring
to	facilitate	the	excha	nge	of	informa	ation	with
res	spect to ta	xes l	nave a	greed	l as	follows	s:	

The government of \_\_\_\_\_ and the government of \_\_\_\_\_, desiring to facilitate the exchange of information with respect to taxes have agreed as follows:

#### Article 1

# **Object and Scope of the Agreement**

The competent authorities of the Contracting Parties shall provide assistance through exchange of information that is foreseeably relevant to the administration and enforcement of the domestic laws of the Contracting Parties concerning taxes covered by this Agreement. Such information shall include information that is foreseeably relevant to the determination, assessment and collection of such taxes, the recovery and enforcement of tax claims, or the investigation or prosecution of tax matters. Information shall be exchanged in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement and shall be treated as confidential in the manner provided in Article 8. The rights and safeguards secured to persons by the laws or administrative practice of the requested Party remain applicable to the extent that they do not unduly prevent or delay effective exchange of information.

#### Article 2

# Jurisdiction

A Requested Party is not obligated to provide information which is neither held by its authorities nor in the possession or control of persons who are within its territorial jurisdiction.

#### Article 3

#### **Taxes Covered**

# MULTILATERAL VERSION

# **BILATERAL VERSION**

- This Agreement shall apply:

   The taxes which are the subject of this Agreement are:

   to the following taxes imposed by or on behalf of a Contracting Party:

   taxes on income or profits;
   i) in country A, \_\_\_\_\_\_;
  - ii) taxes on capital;

- iii) taxes on net wealth;
- iv) estate, inheritance or gift taxes;
- b) to the taxes in categories referred to in subparagraph a) above, which are imposed by or on behalf of political sub-divisions or local authorities of the Contracting Parties if listed in the instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval.
- 2. The Contracting Parties, in their instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval, may agree that the Agreement shall also apply to indirect taxes.
- This Agreement shall also apply to any identical taxes imposed after the date of entry into force of the Agreement in addition to or in place of the existing taxes. This Agreement shall also apply to any substantially similar taxes imposed after the date of entry into force of the Agreement in addition to or in place of the existing taxes if the competent authorities the Contracting **Parties** SO of Furthermore, the taxes covered may be expanded or modified by mutual agreement of the Contracting Parties in the form of an exchange of letters. The competent authorities of the Contracting Parties shall notify each other of any substantial changes to the taxation and related information gathering measures covered by the Agreement.

b) in country B, . .

This Agreement shall also apply to any identical taxes imposed after the date of signature of the Agreement in addition to or in place of the existing taxes. This Agreement shall also apply to any substantially similar taxes imposed after the date of signature of the Agreement in addition to or in place of the existing taxes if the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties so agree. Furthermore, the taxes covered may be expanded or modified by mutual agreement of the Contracting Parties in the form of an exchange of letters. The competent authorities of the Contracting Parties shall notify each other of any substantial changes to the taxation and related information gathering measures covered by the Agreement.

# Article 4 Definitions

#### **MULTILATERAL VERSION**

#### **BILATERAL VERSION**

- 1. For the purposes of this Agreement, unless otherwise defined:
- a) the term "Contracting Party" means any party that has deposited an instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval with the depositary;
- b) the term "competent authority" means the authorities designated by a Contracting Party in its instrument of acceptance, ratification or approval;
- a) the term "Contracting Party" means country A or country B as the context requires;
- b) the term "competent authority" means
  - i) in the case of Country A,

- c) the term "person" includes an individual, a company and any other body of persons;
- d) the term "company" means any body corporate or any entity that is treated as a body corporate for tax purposes;
- e) the term "publicly traded company" means any company whose principal class of shares is listed on a recognised stock exchange provided its listed shares can be readily purchased or sold by the public. Shares can be purchased or sold "by the public" if the purchase or sale of shares is not implicitly or explicitly restricted to a limited group of investors;
- f) the term "principal class of shares" means the class or classes of shares representing a majority of the voting power and value of the company;
- g) the term "recognised stock exchange" means any stock exchange agreed upon by the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties;
- h) the term "collective investment fund or scheme" means any pooled investment vehicle, irrespective of legal form. The term "public collective investment fund or scheme" means any collective investment fund or scheme provided the units, shares or other interests in the fund or scheme can be readily purchased, sold or redeemed by the public. Units, shares or other interests in the fund or scheme can be readily purchased, sold or redeemed "by the public" if the purchase, sale or redemption is not implicitly or explicitly restricted to a limited group of investors;
- i) the term "tax" means any tax to which the Agreement applies;
- j) the term "applicant Party" means the Contracting Party requesting information;
- k) the term "requested Party" means the Contracting Party requested to provide information;
- 1) the term "information gathering measures" means laws and administrative or judicial procedures that enable a Contracting Party to obtain and provide the requested information;
- m) the term "information" means any fact, statement or record in any form whatever;
- n) the term "depositary" means the Secretary-General of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development;
- o) the term "criminal tax matters" means tax matters involving intentional conduct which is liable to prosecution under the criminal laws of the applicant Party;
- p) the term "criminal laws" means all criminal laws designated as such under domestic law irrespective of whether contained in the tax laws, the criminal code or other statutes.
- 2. As regards the application of this Agreement at any time by a Contracting Party, any term not defined therein shall, unless the context otherwise requires, have the meaning that it has at that time under

the law of that Party, any meaning under the applicable tax laws of that Party prevailing over a meaning given to the term under other laws of that Party.

#### Article 5

# **Exchange of Information Upon Request**

- 1. The competent authority of the requested Party shall provide upon request information for the purposes referred to in Article 1. Such information shall be exchanged without regard to whether the conduct being investigated would constitute a crime under the laws of the requested Party if such conduct occurred in the requested Party.
- 2. If the information in the possession of the competent authority of the requested Party is not sufficient to enable it to comply with the request for information, that Party shall use all relevant information gathering measures to provide the applicant Party with the information requested, notwithstanding that the requested Party may not need such information for its own tax purposes.
- 3. If specifically requested by the competent authority of an applicant Party, the competent authority of the requested Party shall provide information under this Article, to the extent allowable under its domestic laws, in the form of depositions of witnesses and authenticated copies of original records.
- 4. Each Contracting Party shall ensure that its competent authorities for the purposes specified in Article 1 of the Agreement, have the authority to obtain and provide upon request:
- a) information held by banks, other financial institutions, and any person acting in an agency or fiduciary capacity including nominees and trustees;
- b) information regarding the ownership of companies, partnerships, trusts, foundations, "Anstalten" and other persons, including, within the constraints of Article 2, ownership information on all such persons in an ownership chain; in the case of trusts, information on settlors, trustees and beneficiaries; and in the case of foundations, information on founders, members of the foundation council and beneficiaries. Further, this Agreement does not create an obligation on the Contracting Parties to obtain or provide ownership information with respect to publicly traded companies or public collective investment funds or schemes unless such information can be obtained without giving rise to disproportionate difficulties.
- 5. The competent authority of the applicant Party shall provide the following information to the competent authority of the requested Party when making a request for information under the Agreement to demonstrate the foreseeable relevance of the information to the request:
  - (a) the identity of the person under examination or investigation;
- (b) a statement of the information sought including its nature and the form in which the applicant Party wishes to receive the information from the requested Party;
  - (c) the tax purpose for which the information is sought;
- (d) grounds for believing that the information requested is held in the requested Party or is in the possession or control of a person within the jurisdiction of the requested Party;
- (e) to the extent known, the name and address of any person believed to be in possession of the requested information;

- (f) a statement that the request is in conformity with the law and administrative practices of the applicant Party, that if the requested information was within the jurisdiction of the applicant Party then the competent authority of the applicant Party would be able to obtain the information under the laws of the applicant Party or in the normal course of administrative practice and that it is in conformity with this Agreement;
- (g) a statement that the applicant Party has pursued all means available in its own territory to obtain the information, except those that would give rise to disproportionate difficulties.
- The competent authority of the requested Party shall forward the requested information as promptly as possible to the applicant Party. To ensure a prompt response, the competent authority of the requested Party shall:
  - a) Confirm receipt of a request in writing to the competent authority of the applicant Party and shall notify the competent authority of the applicant Party of deficiencies in the request, if any, within 60 days of the receipt of the request.
  - b) If the competent authority of the requested Party has been unable to obtain and provide the information within 90 days of receipt of the request, including if it encounters obstacles in furnishing the information or it refuses to furnish the information, it shall immediately inform the applicant Party, explaining the reason for its inability, the nature of the obstacles or the reasons for its refusal.

#### Article 6

# **Tax Examinations Abroad**

# MULTILATERAL VERSION

#### A Contracting Party may allow representatives of the competent authority of the other Contracting Party to enter the territory of first-mentioned Party

- A Contracting Party may allow representatives of the competent authority of another Contracting Party to enter the territory of the first-mentioned Party to interview individuals and examine records with the written consent of the persons concerned. The competent authority of the second-mentioned Party shall notify the competent authority of the first-mentioned Party of the time and place of the meeting with the individuals concerned.
- At the request of the competent authority of a Contracting Party, the competent authority of another Contracting Party may allow representatives of the competent authority of the first-mentioned Party to be present at the appropriate part of a tax examination in the second-mentioned Party.
- 3. If the request referred to in paragraph
- At the request of the competent authority of one Contracting Party, the competent authority of the other Contracting Party may allow representatives of the competent authority of the first-mentioned Party to be present at the appropriate part of a tax examination in the second-mentioned Party.

**BILATERAL VERSION** 

individuals and examine records with the

written consent of the persons concerned. The

competent authority of the second-mentioned

Party shall notify the competent authority of the

first-mentioned Party of the time and place of the meeting with the individuals concerned.

to

3. If the request referred to in paragraph

- 2 is acceded to, the competent authority of the Contracting Party conducting the examination shall, as soon as possible, notify the competent authority of the other Party about the time and place of the examination, the authority or official designated to carry out the examination and the procedures and conditions required by the first-mentioned Party for the conduct of the examination. All decisions with respect to the conduct of the tax examination shall be made by the Party conducting the examination.
- 2 is acceded to, the competent authority of the Contracting Party conducting the examination shall, as soon as possible, notify the competent authority of the other Party about the time and place of the examination, the authority or official designated to carry out the examination and the procedures and conditions required by the first-mentioned Party for the conduct of the examination. All decisions with respect to the conduct of the tax examination shall be made by the Party conducting the examination.

#### Article 7

# Possibility of Declining a Request

- 1. The requested Party shall not be required to obtain or provide information that the applicant Party would not be able to obtain under its own laws for purposes of the administration or enforcement of its own tax laws. The competent authority of the requested Party may decline to assist where the request is not made in conformity with this Agreement.
- 2. The provisions of this Agreement shall not impose on a Contracting Party the obligation to supply information which would disclose any trade, business, industrial, commercial or professional secret or trade process. Notwithstanding the foregoing, information of the type referred to in Article 5, paragraph 4 shall not be treated as such a secret or trade process merely because it meets the criteria in that paragraph.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreement shall not impose on a Contracting Party the obligation to obtain or provide information, which would reveal confidential communications between a client and an attorney, solicitor or other admitted legal representative where such communications are:
  - (a) produced for the purposes of seeking or providing legal advice or
  - (b) produced for the purposes of use in existing or contemplated legal proceedings.
- 4. The requested Party may decline a request for information if the disclosure of the information would be contrary to public policy (ordre public).
- 5. A request for information shall not be refused on the ground that the tax claim giving rise to the request is disputed.
- 6. The requested Party may decline a request for information if the information is requested by the applicant Party to administer or enforce a provision of the tax law of the applicant Party, or any requirement connected therewith, which discriminates against a national of the requested Party as compared with a national of the applicant Party in the same circumstances.

# Article 8

# **Confidentiality**

Any information received by a Contracting Party under this Agreement shall be treated as confidential and may be disclosed only to persons or authorities (including courts and administrative bodies) in the jurisdiction of the Contracting Party concerned with the assessment or collection of, the enforcement or prosecution in respect of, or the determination of appeals in relation to, the taxes covered by this Agreement. Such persons or authorities shall use such information only for such purposes. They may disclose the information in public court proceedings or in judicial decisions. The information may not be disclosed to any other person or entity or authority or any other jurisdiction without the express written consent of the competent authority of the requested Party.

#### Article 9

#### Costs

Incidence of costs incurred in providing assistance shall be agreed by the Contracting Parties.

#### Article 10

# **Implementation Legislation**

The Contracting Parties shall enact any legislation necessary to comply with, and give effect to, the terms of the Agreement.

#### Article 11

# Language

*This article may not be required.* 

Requests for assistance and answers thereto shall be drawn up in English, French or any other language agreed bilaterally between the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties under Article 13.

# Article 12

# Other international agreements or arrangements

This article may not be required

The possibilities of assistance provided by this Agreement do not limit, nor are they limited by, those contained in existing international agreements or other arrangements between the Contracting Parties which relate to co-operation in tax matters.

#### Article 13

**Mutual Agreement Procedure** 

- 1. Where difficulties or doubts arise between two or more Contracting Parties regarding the implementation or interpretation of the Agreement, the competent authorities of those Contracting Parties shall endeavour to resolve the matter by mutual agreement.
- 2. In addition to the agreements referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities of two or more Contracting Parties may mutually agree:
  - a) on the procedures to be used under Articles 5 and 6;
  - b) on the language to be used in making and responding to requests in accordance with Article 11.

- 1. Where difficulties or doubts arise between the Contracting Parties regarding the implementation or interpretation of the Agreement, the competent authorities shall endeavour to resolve the matter by mutual agreement.
- 2. In addition to the agreements referred to in paragraph 1, the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties may mutually agree on the procedures to be used under Articles 5 and 6

- 3. The competent authorities of the Contracting Parties may communicate with each other directly for purposes of reaching agreement under this Article.
- 4. Any agreement between the competent authorities of two or more Contracting Parties shall be effective only between those Contracting Parties.
- 4. The paragraph would not be necessary.
- 5. The Contracting Parties may also agree on other forms of dispute resolution.

# Article 14

# **Depositary's functions**

- 1. The depositary shall notify al Contracting Parties of:
  - a. the deposit of any instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of this Agreement;
  - b. any date of entry into force of this Agreement in accordance with the provisions of Article 15;
  - c. any notification of termination of this Agreement;
  - d. any other act or notification relating

*The article would be unnecessary* 

# to this Agreement.

2. At the request of one or more of the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties, the depositary may convene a meeting of the competent authorities or their representatives, to discuss significant matters related to interpretation or implementation of the Agreement.

#### Article 15

# **Entry into Force**

- 1. This Agreement is subject to ratification, acceptance or approval. Instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval shall be submitted to the depositary of this Agreement.
- 2. Each Contracting Party shall specify in its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval vis-à-vis which other party it wishes to be bound by this Agreement. The Agreement shall enter into force only between Contracting Parties that specify each other in their respective instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval.
- 3. This Agreement shall enter into force on 1 January 2004 with respect to exchange of information for criminal tax matters. The Agreement shall enter into force on 1 January 2006 with respect to all other matters covered in Article 1.

For each party depositing an instrument after such entry into force, the Agreement shall enter into force on the 30<sup>th</sup> day following the deposit of both instruments.

4. Unless an earlier date is agreed by the Contracting Parties, the provisions of this Agreement shall have effect

- 1. This Agreement is subject to ratification, acceptance or approval by the Contracting Parties, in accordance with their respective laws. Instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval shall be exchanged as soon as possible.
- 2. This Agreement shall enter into force on 1 January 2004 with respect to exchange of information for criminal tax matters. The Agreement shall enter into force on 1 January 2006 with respect to all other matters covered in Article 1.

- 3. The provisions of this Agreement shall have effect:
- with respect to criminal tax matters for taxable

- with respect to criminal tax matters for tax able periods beginning on or after 1 January 2004 or, where there is no taxable period, for all charges to tax arising on or after 1 January 2004:
- with respect to all other matters described in Article 1 for all taxable periods beginning on or after January 1 2006 or, where there is no taxable period, for all charges to tax arising on or after 1 January 2006.

In cases addressed in the third sentence of paragraph 3, the Agreement shall take effect for all taxable periods beginning on or after the sixtieth day following entry into force, or where there is no taxable period for all charges to tax arising on or after the sixtieth day following entry into force.

periods beginning on or after 1 January 2004 or, where there is no taxable period, for all charges to tax arising on or after 1 January 2004;

-with respect to all other matters described in Article 1 for all taxable periods beginning on or after January 1 2006 or, where there is no taxable period, for all charges to tax arising on or after 1 January 2006.

#### Article 16

# **Termination**

- 1. Any Contracting Party may terminate this Agreement vis-à-vis any other Contracting Party by serving a notice of termination either through diplomatic channels or by letter to the competent authority of the other Contracting Party. A copy shall be provided to the depositary of the Agreement.
- 2. Such termination shall become effective on the first day of the month following the expiration of a period of six months after the date of receipt of the notification by the depositary.
- 3. Any Contracting Party that terminates the Agreement shall remain bound by the provisions of Article 8 with respect to any information obtained under the Agreement.

# **Termination**

- 1. Either Contracting Party may terminate the Agreement by serving a notice of termination either through diplomatic channels or by letter to the competent authority of the other Contracting Party.
- 2. Such termination shall become effective on the first day of the month following the expiration of a period of six months after the date of receipt of notice of termination by the other Contracting Party.
- 3. A Contracting Party that terminates the Agreement shall remain bound by the provisions of Article 8 with respect to any information obtained under the Agreement.

In witness whereof, the undersigned, being duly authorised thereto, have signed the Agreement.

#### **Title and Preamble**

1.	The preamble sets out the general objective of the Agreement. The objective of the Agreement is
to fa	acilitate exchange of information between the parties to the Agreement. The multilateral and the
bilat	eral versions of the preamble are identical except that the multilateral version refers to the signatories
of th	ne Agreement as "Parties" and the bilateral version refers to the signatories as the "Government of
	." The formulation "Government of " in the bilateral context is used for illustrative purposes
only	and countries are free to use other wording in accordance with their domestic requirements or
pract	tice.

# **Article 1 (Object and Scope of Agreement)**

- 2. Article 1 defines the scope of the Agreement, which is the provision of assistance in tax matters through exchange of information that will assist the Contracting Parties to administer and enforce their tax laws.
- 3. The Agreement is limited to exchange of information that is foreseeably relevant to the administration and enforcement of the laws of the applicant Party concerning the taxes covered by the Agreement. The standard of foreseeable relevance is intended to provide for exchange of information in tax matters to the widest possible extent and, at the same time, to clarify that Contracting Parties are not at liberty to engage in fishing expeditions or to request information that is unlikely to be relevant to the tax affairs of a given taxpayer. Parties that choose to enter into bilateral agreements based on the Agreement may agree to an alternative formulation of this standard, provided that such alternative formulation is consistent with the scope of the Agreement.
- 4. The Agreement uses the standard of foreseeable relevance in order to ensure that information requests may not be declined in cases where a definite assessment of the pertinence of the information to an on-going investigation can only be made following the receipt of the information. The standard of foreseeable relevance is also used in the Joint Council of Europe/OECD Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters.
- 5. The last sentence of Article 1 ensures that procedural rights existing in the requested Party will continue to apply to the extent they do not unduly prevent or delay effective exchange of information. Such rights may include, depending on the circumstances, a right of notification, a right to challenge the exchange of information following notification or rights to challenge information gathering measures taken by the requested Party. Such procedural rights and safeguards also include any rights secured to persons that may flow from relevant international agreements on human rights and the expression "unduly prevent or delay" indicates that such rights may take precedence over the Agreement.
- 6. Article 1 strikes a balance between rights granted to persons in the requested Party and the need for effective exchange of information. Article 1 provides that rights and safeguards are not overridden simply because they could, in certain circumstances, operate to prevent or delay effective exchange of information. However, Article 1 obliges the requested Party to ensure that any such rights and safeguards are not applied in a manner that unduly prevents or delays effective exchange of information. For instance, a bona fide procedural safeguard in the requested Party may delay a response to an information request. However, such a delay should not be considered as "unduly preventing or delaying" effective exchange of information unless the delay is such that it calls into question the usefulness of the information exchange

agreement for the applicant Party. Another example may concern notification requirements. A requested Party whose laws require prior notification is obliged to ensure that its notification requirements are not applied in a manner that, in the particular circumstances of the request, would frustrate the efforts of the party seeking the information. For instance, notification rules should permit exceptions from prior notification (*e.g.*, in cases in which the information request is of a very urgent nature or the notification is likely to undermine the chance of success of the investigation conducted by the applicant Party). To avoid future difficulties or misunderstandings in the implementation of an agreement, the Contracting Parties should consider discussing these issues in detail during negotiations and in the course of implementing the agreement in order to ensure that information requested under the agreement can be obtained as expeditiously as possible while ensuring adequate protection of taxpayers' rights.

# **Article 2 (Jurisdiction)**

7. Article 2 addresses the jurisdictional scope of the Agreement. It clarifies that a requested Party is not obligated to provide information which is neither held by its authorities nor is in the possession or control of persons within its territorial jurisdiction. The requested Party's obligation to provide information is not, however, restricted by the residence or the nationality of the person to whom the information relates or by the residence or the nationality of the person in control or possession of the information requested. The term "possession or control" should be construed broadly and the term "authorities" should be interpreted to include all government agencies. Of course, a requested Party would nevertheless be under no obligation to provide information held by an "authority" if the circumstances described in Article 7 (Possibility of Declining a Request) were met.

# **Article 3 (Taxes Covered)**

- 8. Article 3 is intended to identify the taxes with respect to which the Contracting Parties agree to exchange information in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement. Article 3 appears in two versions: a multilateral version and a bilateral version. The multilateral Agreement applies to taxes on income or profits, taxes on capital, taxes on net wealth, and estate, inheritance or gift taxes. "Taxes on income or profits" includes taxes on gains from the alienation of movable or immovable property. The multilateral Agreement, in sub-paragraph b), further permits the inclusion of taxes imposed by or on behalf of political sub-divisions or local authorities. Such taxes are covered by the Agreement only if they are listed in the instrument of ratification, approval or acceptance.
- 9. Bilateral agreements will cover, at a minimum, the same four categories of direct taxes (i.e., taxes on income or profits, taxes on capital, taxes on net wealth, and estate, inheritance or gift taxes) unless both parties agree to waive one or more of them. A Contracting Party may decide to omit any or all of the four categories of direct taxes from its list of taxes to be covered but it would nevertheless be obligated to respond to requests for information with respect to the taxes listed by the other Contracting Party (assuming the request otherwise satisfies the terms of the Agreement). The Contracting Parties may also agree to cover taxes other than the four categories of direct taxes. For example, Contracting Party A may list all four direct taxes and Contracting Party B may list only indirect taxes. Such an outcome is likely where the two Contracting Parties have substantially different tax regimes.

10. Paragraph 2 of the multilateral version provides that the Contracting Parties may agree to extend the Agreement to cover indirect taxes. This possible extension is consistent with Article 26 of the OECD Model Convention on Income and on Capital, which now covers "taxes of every kind and description." There is no equivalent to paragraph 2 in the bilateral version because the issue can be addressed under paragraph 1. Any agreement to extend the Agreement to cover indirect taxes should be notified to the depositary. Paragraph 2 of the bilateral version is discussed below together with paragraph 3 of the multilateral version.

# Paragraph 3

- 11. Paragraph 3 of the multilateral version and paragraph 2 of the bilateral version address "identical taxes", "substantially similar taxes" and further contain a rule on the expansion or modification of the taxes covered by the Agreement. The Agreement applies automatically to all "identical taxes". The Agreement applies to "substantially similar taxes" if the competent authorities so agree. Finally, the taxes covered by the Agreement can be expanded or modified if the Contracting Parties so agree.
- 12. The only difference between paragraph 3 of the multilateral version and paragraph 2 of the bilateral version is that the former refers to the date of entry into force whereas the later refers to the date of signature. The multilateral version refers to entry into force because in the multilateral context there might be no official signing of the Agreement between the Contracting Parties.
- 13. In the multilateral context the first sentence of paragraph 3 is of a declaratory nature only. The multilateral version lists the taxes by general type. Any tax imposed after the date of signature or entry into force of the Agreement that is of such a type is already covered by operation of paragraph 1. The same holds true in the bilateral context, if the Contracting Parties choose to identify the taxes by general type. Certain Contracting Parties, however, may wish to identify the taxes to which the Agreement applies by specific name (*e.g.*, the Income Tax Act of 1999). In these cases, the first sentence makes sure that the Agreement also applies to taxes that are identical to the taxes specifically identified.
- 14. The meaning of "identical" should be construed very broadly. For instance, any replacement tax of an existing tax that does not change the nature of the tax should be considered an "identical" tax. Contracting Parties seeking to avoid any uncertainty regarding the interpretation of "identical" versus "substantially similar" may wish to delete the second sentence and to include substantially similar taxes within the first sentence.

#### **Article 4 (Definitions)**

# Paragraph 1

15. Article 4 contains the definitions of terms for purposes of the Agreement. Article 4, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph a) defines the term "Contracting Party". Sub-paragraph b) defines the term "competent authority." The definition recognises that in some Contracting Parties the execution of the Agreement may not fall exclusively within the competence of the highest tax authorities and that some matters may be reserved or may be delegated to other authorities. The definition enables each Contracting Party to designate one or more authorities as being competent to execute the Agreement. While the definition provides the Contracting Parties with the possibility of designating more than one competent authority (for instance, where Contracting Parties agree to cover both direct and indirect taxes), it is customary practice to have only one competent authority per Contracting Party.

- 16. Sub-paragraph c) defines the meaning of "person" for purposes of the Agreement. The definition of the term "person" given in sub-paragraph c) is intended to be very broad. The definition explicitly mentions an individual, a company and any other body of persons. However, the use of the word "includes" makes clear that the Agreement also covers any other organisational structures such as trusts, foundations, "Anstalten," partnerships as well as collective investment funds or schemes.
- 17. Foundations, "Anstalten" and similar arrangements are covered by this Agreement irrespective of whether or not they are treated as an "entity that is treated as a body corporate for tax purposes" under sub-paragraph d).
- 18. Trusts are also covered by this Agreement. Thus, competent authorities of the Contracting Parties must have the authority to obtain and provide information on trusts (such as the identity of settlors, beneficiaries or trustees) irrespective of the classification of trusts under their domestic laws.
- 19. The main example of a "body of persons" is the partnership. In addition to partnerships, the term "body of persons" also covers less commonly used organisational structures such as unincorporated associations.
- 20. In most cases, applying the definition should not raise significant issues of interpretation. However, when applying the definition to less commonly used organisational structures, interpretation may prove more difficult. In these cases, particular attention must be given to the context of the Agreement. *Cf. Article 4, paragraph 2*. The key operational article that uses the term "person" is Article 5, paragraph 4, sub-paragraph b), which provides that a Contracting Party must have the authority to obtain and provide ownership information for all "persons" within the constraints of Article 2. Too narrow an interpretation may jeopardise the object and purposes of the Agreement by potentially excluding certain entities or other organisational structures from this obligation simply as a result of certain corporate or other legal features. Therefore, the aim is to cover all possible organisational structures.
- 21. For instance an "estate" is recognised as a distinct entity under the laws of certain countries. An "estate" typically denotes property held under the provisions of a will by a fiduciary (and under the direction of a court) whose duty it is to preserve and protect such property for distribution to the beneficiaries. Similarly a legal system might recognise an organisational structure that is substantially similar to a trust or foundation but may refer to it by a different name. The standard of Article 4, paragraph 2 makes clear that where these arrangements exist under the applicable law they constitute "persons" under the definition of sub-paragraph c).
- 22. Sub-paragraph d) provides the definition of company and is identical to Article 3, paragraph 1 sub-paragraph b) of the OECD Model Convention on Income and on Capital.
- 23. Sub-paragraphs e) through h) define "publicly traded company" and "collective investment fund or scheme." Both terms are used in Article 5 paragraph 4, sub-paragraph b). Sub-paragraphs e) through g) contain the definition of publicly traded company and sub-paragraph h) addresses collective investment funds or schemes.
- 24. For reasons of simplicity the definitions do not require a minimum percentage of interests traded (e.g., 5 percent of all outstanding shares of a publicly listed company) but somewhat more broadly require that equity interests must be "readily" available for sale, purchase or redemption. The fact that a collective investment fund or scheme may operate in the form of a publicly traded company should not raise any issues because the definitions for both publicly traded company and collective investment fund or scheme are essentially identical.

- 25. Sub-paragraph e) provides that a "publicly traded company" is any company whose principal class of shares is listed on a recognised stock exchange and whose listed shares can be readily sold or purchased by the public. The term "principal class of shares" is defined in sub-paragraph f). The definition ensures that companies that only list a minority interest do not qualify as publicly traded companies. A publicly traded company can only be a company that lists shares representing both a majority of the voting rights and a majority of the value of the company.
- 26. The term "recognised stock exchange" is defined in sub-paragraph g) as any stock exchange agreed upon by the competent authorities. One criterion competent authorities might consider in this context is whether the listing rules, including the wider regulatory environment, of any given stock exchange contain sufficient safeguards against private limited companies posing as publicly listed companies. Competent authorities might further explore whether there are any regulatory or other requirements for the disclosure of substantial interests in any publicly listed company.
- 27. The term "by the public" is defined in the second sentence of sub-paragraph e). The definition seeks to ensure that share ownership is not restricted to a limited group of investors. Examples of cases in which the purchase or sale of shares is restricted to a limited group of investors would include the following situations: shares can only be sold to existing shareholders, shares are only offered to members of a family or to related group companies, shares can only be bought by members of an investment club, a partnership or other association.
- 28. Restrictions on the free transferability of shares that are imposed by operation of law or by a regulatory authority or are conditional or contingent upon market related events are not restrictions that limit the purchase or sale of shares to a "limited group of investors". By way of example, a restriction on the free transferability of shares of a corporate entity that is triggered by attempts by a group of investors or non-investors to obtain control of a company is not a restriction that limits the purchase or sale of shares to a "limited group of investors".
- 29. The insertion of "readily" reflects the fact that where shares do not change hands to any relevant degree the rationale for the special mention of publicly traded companies in Article 5, paragraph 4, subparagraph b) does not apply. Thus, for a publicly traded company to meet this standard, more than a negligible portion of its listed shares must actually be traded.
- 30. Sub-paragraph h) defines a collective investment fund or scheme as any pooled investment vehicle irrespective of legal form. The definition includes collective investment funds or schemes structured as companies, partnerships, trusts as well as purely contractual arrangements. Sub-paragraph h) then defines "public collective investment funds or schemes" as any collective investment fund or scheme where the interests in the vehicle can be readily purchased, sold, or redeemed by the public. The terms "readily" and "by the public" have the same meaning that they have in connection with the definition of publicly traded companies.
- 31. Sub-paragraphs i, j) and k) are self-explanatory.
- 32. Sub-paragraph l) defines "information gathering measures." Each Contracting Party determines the form of such powers and the manner in which they are implemented under its internal law. Information gathering measures typically include requiring the presentation of records for examination, gaining direct access to records, making copies of such records and interviewing persons having knowledge, possession, control or custody of pertinent information. Information gathering measures will typically focus on obtaining the requested information and will in most cases not themselves address the provision of the information to the applicant Party.

- 33. Sub-paragraph m) defines "information". The definition is very broad and includes any fact, statement or record in any form whatever. "Record" includes (but is not limited to): an account, an agreement, a book, a chart, a table, a diagram, a form, an image, an invoice, a letter, a map, a memorandum, a plan, a return, a telegram and a voucher. The term "record' is not limited to information maintained in paper form but includes information maintained in electronic form.
- 34. Sub-paragraph n) of the multilateral version provides that the depositary of the Agreement is the Secretary General of the OECD.
- 35. Sub-paragraph o) defines criminal tax matters. Criminal tax matters are defined as all tax matters involving intentional conduct, which is liable to prosecution under the criminal laws of the applicant Party. Criminal law provisions based on non-intentional conduct (e.g., provisions that involve strict or absolute liability) do not constitute criminal tax matters for purposes of the Agreement. A tax matter involves "intentional conduct" if the pertinent criminal law provision requires an element of intent. Sub-paragraph o) does not create an obligation on the part of the applicant Party to prove to the requested Party an element of intent in connection with the actual conduct under investigation.
- 36. Typical categories of conduct that constitute tax crimes include the wilful failure to file a tax return within the prescribed time period; wilful omission or concealment of sums subject to tax; making false or incomplete statements to the tax or other authorities of facts which obstruct the collection of tax; deliberate omissions of entries in books and records; deliberate inclusion of false or incorrect entries in books and records; interposition for the purposes of causing all or part of the wealth of another person to escape tax; or consenting or acquiescing to an offence. Tax crimes, like other crimes, are punished through fines, incarceration or both.
- 37. Sub-paragraph p) defines the term "criminal laws" used in sub-paragraph o). It makes clear that criminal laws include criminal law provisions contained in a tax code or any other statute enacted by the applicant Party. It further clarifies that criminal laws are only such laws that are designated as such under domestic law and do not include provisions that might be deemed of a criminal nature for other purposes such as for purposes of applying relevant human rights or other international conventions.

38. This paragraph establishes a general rule of interpretation for terms used in the Agreement but not defined therein. The paragraph is similar to that contained in the OECD Model Convention on Income and on Capital. It provides that any term used, but not defined, in the Agreement will be given the meaning it has under the law of the Contracting Party applying the Agreement unless the context requires otherwise. Contracting Parties may agree to allow the competent authorities to use the Mutual Agreement Procedure provided for in Article 13 to agree the meaning of such an undefined term. However, the ability to do so may depend on constitutional or other limitations. In cases in which the laws of the Contracting Party applying the Agreement provide several meanings, any meaning given to the term under the applicable tax laws will prevail over any meaning that is given to the term under any other laws. The last part of the sentence is, of course, operational only where the Contracting Party applying the Agreement imposes taxes and therefore has "applicable tax laws."

# **Article 5 (Exchange of Information Upon Request)**

# Paragraph 1

- 39. Paragraph 1 provides the general rule that the competent authority of the requested Party must provide information upon request for the purposes referred to in Article 1. The paragraph makes clear that the Agreement only covers exchange of information upon request (*i.e.*, when the information requested relates to a particular examination, inquiry or investigation) and does not cover automatic or spontaneous exchange of information. However, Contracting Parties may wish to consider expanding their co-operation in matters of information exchange for tax purposes by covering automatic and spontaneous exchanges and simultaneous tax examinations.
- 40. The reference in the first sentence to Article 1 of the Agreement confirms that information must be exchanged for both civil and criminal tax matters. The second sentence of paragraph 1 makes clear that information in connection with criminal tax matters must be exchanged irrespective of whether or not the conduct being investigated would also constitute a crime under the laws of the requested Party.

# Paragraph 2

- 41. Paragraph 2 is intended to clarify that, in responding to a request, a Contracting Party will have to take action to obtain the information requested and cannot rely solely on the information in the possession of its competent authority. Reference is made to information "in its possession" rather than "available in the tax files" because some Contracting Parties do not have tax files because they do not impose direct taxes.
- 42. Upon receipt of an information request the competent authority of the requested Party must first review whether it has all the information necessary to respond to a request. If the information in its own possession proves inadequate, it must take "all relevant information gathering measures" to provide the applicant Party with the information requested. The term "information gathering measures" is defined in Article 4, paragraph 1, sub-paragraph 1). An information gathering measure is "relevant" if it is capable of obtaining the information requested by the applicant Party. The requested Party determines which information gathering measures are relevant in a particular case.
- 43. Paragraph 2 further provides that information must be exchanged without regard to whether the requested Party needs the information for its own tax purposes. This rule is needed because a tax interest requirement might defeat effective exchange of information, for instance, in cases where the requested Party does not impose an income tax or the request relates to an entity not subject to taxation within the requested Party.

- 44. Paragraph 3 includes a provision intended to require the provision of information in a format specifically requested by a Contracting Party to satisfy its evidentiary or other legal requirements to the extent allowable under the laws of the requested Party. Such forms may include depositions of witnesses and authenticated copies of original records. Under paragraph 3, the requested Party may decline to provide the information in the specific form requested if such form is not allowable under its laws. A refusal to provide the information in the format requested does not affect the obligation to provide the information.
- 45. If requested by the applicant Party, authenticated copies of unedited original records should be provided to the applicant Party. However, a requested Party may need to edit information unrelated to the

request if the provision of such information would be contrary to its laws. Furthermore, in some countries authentication of documents might require translation in a language other than the language of the original record. Where such issues may arise, Contracting Parties should consider discussing these issues in detail during discussions prior to the conclusion of this Agreement.

- 46. Paragraph 4, sub-paragraph a), by referring explicitly to persons that may enjoy certain privilege rights under domestic law, makes clear that such rights can not form the basis for declining a request unless otherwise provided in Article 7. For instance, the inclusion of a reference to bank information in paragraph 4, sub-paragraph a) rules out that bank secrecy could be considered a part of public policy (ordre public). Similarly, paragraph 4, sub-paragraph a) together with Article 7, paragraph 2 makes clear that information that does not otherwise constitute a trade, business, industrial, commercial or professional secret or trade process does not become such a secret simply because it is held by one of the persons mentioned.
- 47. Sub-paragraph a) should not be taken to suggest that a competent authority is obliged only to have the authority to obtain and provide information from the persons mentioned. Sub-paragraph a) does not limit the obligation imposed by Article 5, paragraph 1.
- 48. Sub-paragraph a) mentions information held by banks and other financial institutions. In accordance with the Report "Improving Access to Bank Information for Tax Purposes" (OECD 2000), access to information held by banks or other financial institutions may be by direct means or indirectly through a judicial or administrative process. As stated in the report, the procedure for indirect access should not be so burdensome and time-consuming as to act as an impediment to access to bank information. Typically, requested bank information includes account, financial, and transactional information as well as information on the identity or legal structure of account holders and parties to financial transactions.
- 49. Paragraph 4, sub-paragraph a) further mentions information held by persons acting in an agency or fiduciary capacity, including nominees and trustees. A person is generally said to act in a "fiduciary capacity" when the business which he transacts, or the money or property, which he handles, is not his own or for his own benefit, but for the benefit of another person, as to whom he stands in a relation implying and necessitating confidence and trust on the one part and good faith on the other part. The term "agency" is very broad and includes all forms of corporate service providers (*e.g.*, company formation agents, trust companies, registered agents, lawyers).
- 50. Sub-paragraph b) requires that the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties must have the authority to obtain and provide ownership information. The purpose of the sub-paragraph is not to develop a common "all purpose" definition of ownership among Contracting Parties, but to specify the types of information that a Contracting Party may legitimately expect to receive in response to a request for ownership information so that it may apply its own tax laws, including its domestic definition of beneficial ownership.
- 51. In connection with companies and partnerships, the legal and beneficial owner of the shares or partnership assets will usually be the same person. However, in some cases the legal ownership position may be subject to a nominee or similar arrangement. Where the legal owner acts on behalf of another person as a nominee or under a similar arrangement, such other person, rather than the legal owner, may be the beneficial owner. Thus the starting point for the ownership analysis is legal ownership of shares or partnership interests and all Contracting Parties must be able to obtain and provide information on legal ownership. Partnership interests include all forms of partnership interests: general or limited or capital or profits. However, in certain cases, legal ownership may be no more than a starting point. For example, in

any case where the legal owner acts on behalf of any other person as a nominee or under a similar arrangement, the Contracting Parties should have the authority to obtain and provide information about that other person who may be the beneficial owner in addition to information about the legal owner. An example of a nominee is a nominee shareholding arrangement where the legal title-holder that also appears as the shareholder of record acts as an agent for another person. Within the constraints of Article 2 of the Agreement, the requested Party must have the authority to provide information about the persons in an ownership chain.

- 52. In connection with trusts and foundations, sub-paragraph b) provides specifically the type of identity information the Contracting Parties should have the authority to obtain and provide. This is not limited to ownership information. The same rules should also be applied to persons that are substantially similar to trusts or foundations such as the "Anstalt." Therefore, a Contracting Party should have, for example, the authority to obtain and provide information on the identity of the settlor and the beneficiaries and persons who are in a position to direct how assets of the trust or foundation are to be dealt with.
- 53. Certain trusts, foundations, "Anstalten" or similar arrangements, may not have any identified group of persons as beneficiaries but rather may support a general cause. Therefore, ownership information should be read to include only identifiable persons. The term "foundation council" should be interpreted very broadly to include any person or body of persons managing the foundation as well as persons who are in a position to direct how assets of the trust or foundation are to be dealt with.
- Most organisational structures will be classified as a company, a partnership, a trust, a foundation or a person similar to a trust or foundation. However, there might be entities or structures for which ownership information might be legitimately requested but that do not fall into any of these categories. For instance, a structure might, as a matter of law, be of a purely contractual nature. In these cases, the Contracting Parties should have the authority to obtain and provide information about any person with a right to share in the income or gain of the structure or in the proceeds from any sale or liquidation.
- Sub-paragraph b) also provides that a requested Party must have the authority to obtain and provide ownership information for all persons in an ownership chain provided, as is set out in Article 2, the information is held by the authorities of the requested State or is in the possession or control of persons who are within the territorial jurisdiction of the requested Party. This language ensures that the applicant Party need not submit separate information requests for each level of a chain of companies or other persons. For instance, assume company A is a wholly-owned subsidiary of company B and both companies are incorporated under the laws of Party C, a Contracting Party of the Agreement. If Party D, also a Contracting Party, requests ownership information on company A and specifies in the request that it also seeks ownership information on any person in A's chain of ownership, Party C in its response to the request must provide ownership information for both company A and B.
- The second sentence of sub-paragraph b) provides that in the case of publicly traded companies and public collective investment funds or schemes, the competent authorities need only provide ownership information that the requested Party can obtain without disproportionate difficulties. Information can be obtained only with "disproportionate difficulties" if the identification of owners, while theoretically possible, would involve excessive costs or resources. Because such difficulties might easily arise in connection with publicly traded companies and public collective investment funds or schemes where a true public market for ownership interests exists, it was felt that such a clarification was particularly warranted. At the same time it is recognised that where a true public market for ownership interests exists there is less of a risk that such vehicles will be used for tax evasion or other non-compliance with the tax law. The definitions of publicly traded companies and public collective investment funds or schemes are contained in Article 4, paragraph 1, sub-paragraphs e) through h).

Paragraph 5 lists the information that the applicant Party must provide to the requested Party in order to demonstrate the foreseeable relevance of the information requested to the administration or enforcement of the applicant Party's tax laws. While paragraph 5 contains important procedural requirements that are intended to ensure that fishing expeditions do not occur, subparagraphs a) through g) nevertheless need to be interpreted liberally in order not to frustrate effective exchange of information. The following paragraphs give some examples to illustrate the application of the requirements in certain situations.

# 58. Example 1 (sub-paragraph (a))

Where a Party is asking for account information but the identity of the accountholder(s) is unknown, subparagraph (a) may be satisfied by supplying the account number or similar identifying information.

# 59. Example 2 (sub-paragraph (d)) ("is held")

A taxpayer of Country A withdraws all funds from his bank account and is handed a large amount of cash. He visits one bank in both country B and C, and then returns to Country A without the cash. In connection with a subsequent investigation of the taxpayer, the competent authority of Country A sends a request to Country B and to Country C for information regarding bank accounts that may have been opened by the taxpayer at one or both of the banks he visited. Under such circumstances, the competent authority of Country A has grounds to believe that the information is held in Country B or is in the possession or control of a person subject to the jurisdiction of Country B. It also has grounds to believe the same with respect to Country C. Country B (or C) can not decline the request on the basis that Country A has failed to establish that the information "is" in Country B (or C), because it is equally likely that the information is in the other country.

# 60. Example 3 (sub-paragraph (d))

A similar situation may arise where a person under investigation by Country X may or may not have fled Country Y and his bank account there may or may not have been closed. As long as country X is able to connect the person to Country Y, Country Y may not refuse the request on the ground that Country X does not have grounds for believing that the requested information "is" held in Country Y. Country X may legitimately expect Country Y to make an inquiry into the matter, and if a bank account is found, to provide the requested information.

- 61. Sub-paragraph d) provides that the applicant Party shall inform the requested Party of the grounds for believing that the information is held in the requested Party or is in the possession or control of a person within the jurisdiction of the requested Party. The term "held in the requested Party" includes information held by any government agency or authority of the requested Party.
- Sub-paragraph f) needs to be read in conjunction with Article 7, paragraph 1. In particular, see paragraph 77 of the Commentary on Article 7. The statement required under sub-paragraph f) covers three elements: first, that the request is in conformity with the law and administrative practices of the applicant Party; second that the information requested would be obtainable under the laws or in the normal course of administration of the applicant Party if the information were within the jurisdiction of the applicant Party; and third that the information request is in conformity with the Agreement. The "normal course of administrative practice" may include special investigations or special examinations of the business accounts kept by the taxpayer or other persons, provided that the tax authorities of the applicant Party would make similar investigations or examinations if the information were within their jurisdiction.

- Sub-paragraph g) is explained by the fact that, depending on the tax system of the requested Party, a request for information may place an extra burden on the administrative machinery of the requested Party. Therefore, a request should only be contemplated if an applicant Party has no convenient means to obtain the information available within its own jurisdiction. In as far as other means are still available in the applicant Party, the statement prescribed in sub-paragraph g) should explain that these would give rise to disproportionate difficulties. In this last case an element of proportionality plays a role. It should be easier for the requested Party to obtain the information sought after, than for the applicant Party. For example, obtaining information from one supplier in the requested Party may lead to the same information as seeking information from a large number of buyers in the applicant Party.
- 64. It is in the applicant Party's own interest to provide as much information as possible in order to facilitate the prompt response by the requested Party. Hence, incomplete information requests should be rare. The requested Party may ask for additional information but a request for additional information should not delay a response to an information request that complies with the rules of paragraph 5. For possibilities of declining a request, see Article 7 and the accompanying Commentary.

Paragraph 6 sets out procedures for handling requests to ensure prompt responses. The 90 day period set out in subparagraph b) may be extended if required, for instance, by the volume of information requested or the need to authenticate numerous documents. If the competent authority of the requested Party is unable to provide the information within the 90 day period it should immediately notify the competent authority of the applicant Party. The notification should specify the reasons for not having provided the information within the 90 day period (or extended period). Reasons for not having provided the information include, a situation where a judicial or administrative process required to obtain the information has not yet been completed. The notification may usefully contain an estimate of the time still needed to comply with the request. Finally, paragraph 6 encourages the requested Party to react as promptly as possible and, for instance, where appropriate and practical, even before the time limits established under sub-paragraphs a) and b) have expired.

# **Article 6 (Tax Examinations Abroad)**

# Paragraph 1

Paragraph 1 provides that a Contracting Party may allow representatives of the applicant Party to enter the territory of the requested Party to interview individuals and to examine records with the written consent of the persons concerned. The decision of whether to allow such examinations and if so on what terms, lies exclusively in the hands of the requested Party. For instance, the requested Party may determine that a representative of the requested Party is present at some or all such interviews or examinations. This provision enables officials of the applicant Party to participate directly in gathering information in the requested Party but only with the permission of the requested Party and the consent of the persons concerned. Officials of the applicant Party would have no authority to compel disclosure of any information in those circumstances. Given that many jurisdictions and smaller countries have limited resources with which to respond to requests, this provision can be a useful alternative to the use of their own resources to gather information. While retaining full control of the process, the requested Party is freed from the cost and resource implications that it may otherwise face. Country experience suggests that tax examinations abroad can benefit both the applicant and the requested Party. Taxpayers could be interested in such a procedure because, it might spare them the burden of having to make copies of voluminous records to respond to a request.

- Paragraph 2 authorises, but does not require, the requested Party to permit the presence of foreign tax officials to be present during a tax examination initiated by the requested Party in its jurisdiction, for example, for purposes of obtaining the requested information. The decision of whether to allow the foreign representatives to be present lies exclusively within the hands of the competent authority of the requested Party. It is understood that this type of assistance should not be requested unless the competent authority of the applicant Party is convinced that the presence of its representatives at the examination in the requested Party will contribute to a considerable extent to the solution of a domestic tax case. Furthermore, requests for such assistance should not be made in minor cases. This does not necessarily imply that large amounts of tax have to be involved in the particular case. Other justifications for such a request may be the fact that the matter is of prime importance for the solution of other domestic tax cases or that the foreign examination is to be regarded as part of an examination on a large scale embracing domestic enterprises and residents.
- 68. The applicant Party should set out the motive for the request as thoroughly as possible. The request should include a clear description of the domestic tax case to which the request relates. It should also indicate the special reasons why the physical presence of a representative of the competent authority is important. If the competent authority of the applicant Party wishes the examination to be conducted in a specific manner or at a specified time, such wishes should be stated in the request.
- 69. The representatives of the competent authority of the applicant Party may be present only for the appropriate part of the tax examination. The authorities of the requested Party will ensure that this requirement is fulfilled by virtue of the exclusive authority they exercise in respect of the conduct of the examination.

# Paragraph 3

70. Paragraph 3 sets out the procedures to be followed if a request under paragraph 2 has been granted. All decisions on how the examination is to be carried out will be taken by the authority or the official of the requested Party in charge of the examination.

# **Article 7 (Possibility of Declining a Request)**

71. The purpose of this Article is to identify the situations in which a requested Party is <u>not</u> required to supply information in response to a request. If the conditions for any of the grounds for declining a request under Article 7 are met, the requested Party is given discretion to refuse to provide the information but it should carefully weigh the interests of the applicant Party with the pertinent reasons for declining the request. However, if the requested Party does provide the information the person concerned cannot allege an infraction of the rules on secrecy. In the event that the requested Party declines a request for information it shall inform the applicant Party of the grounds for its decision at the earliest opportunity.

- 72. The first sentence of paragraph 1 makes clear that a requested Party is not required to obtain and provide information that the applicant Party would not be able to obtain under similar circumstances under its own laws for purposes of the administration or enforcement of its own tax laws.
- 73. This rule is intended to prevent the applicant Party from circumventing its domestic law limitations by requesting information from the other Contracting Party thus making use of greater powers than it possesses under its own laws. For instance, most countries recognise under their domestic laws that

information cannot be obtained from a person to the extent such person can claim the privilege against self-incrimination. A requested Party may, therefore, decline a request if the applicant Party would have been precluded by its own self-incrimination rules from obtaining the information under similar circumstances.

- 74. In practice, however, the privilege against self-incrimination should have little, if any, application in connection with most information requests. The privilege against self-incrimination is personal and cannot be claimed by an individual who himself is not at risk of criminal prosecution. The overwhelming majority of information requests seek to obtain information from third parties such as banks, intermediaries or the other party to a contract and not from the individual under investigation. Furthermore, the privilege against self-incrimination generally does not attach to persons other than natural persons.
- 75. The second sentence of paragraph 1 provides that a requested Party may decline a request for information in cases where the request is not made in conformity with the Agreement.
- 76. Both the first and the second sentence of paragraph 1 raise the question of how the statements provided by the applicant Party under Article 5, paragraph 5, sub-paragraph f) relate to the grounds for declining a request under Article 7, paragraph 1. The provision of the respective statements should generally be sufficient to establish that no reasons for declining a request under Article 7, paragraph 1 exist. However, a requested Party that has received statements to this effect may still decline the request if it has grounds for believing that the statements are clearly inaccurate.
- 77. Where a requested Party, in reliance on such statements, provides information to the applicant Party it remains within the framework of this Agreement. A requested Party is under no obligation to research or verify the statements provided by the applicant Party. The responsibility for the accuracy of the statement lies with the applicant Party.

- 78. The first sentence of paragraph 2 provides that a Contracting Party is not obliged to provide information which would disclose any trade, business, industrial, commercial or professional secret or trade process.
- 79. Most information requests will not raise issues of trade, business or other secrets. For instance, information requested in connection with a person engaged only in passive investment activities is unlikely to contain any trade, business, industrial or commercial or professional secret because such person is not conducting any trade, business, industrial or commercial or professional activity.
- 80. Financial information, including books and records, does not generally constitute a trade, business or other secret. However, in certain limited cases the disclosure of financial information might reveal a trade business or other secret. For instance, a requested Party may decline a request for information on certain purchase records where the disclosure of such information would reveal the proprietary formula of a product.
- Paragraph 2 has its main application where the provision of information in response to a request would reveal protected intellectual property created by the holder of the information or a third person. For instance, a bank might hold a pending patent application for safe keeping or a trade process might be described in a loan application. In these cases the requested Party may decline any portion of a request for information that would reveal information protected by patent, copyright or other intellectual property laws.
- 82. The second sentence of paragraph 2 makes clear that the Agreement overrides any domestic laws or practices that may treat information as a trade, business, industrial, commercial or professional secret or

trade process merely because it is held by a person identified in Article 5, paragraph 4, sub-paragraph a) or merely because it is ownership information. Thus, in connection with information held by banks, financial institutions etc., the Agreement overrides domestic laws or practices that treat the information as a trade or other secret when in the hands of such person but would not afford such protection when in the hands of another person, for instance, the taxpayer under investigation. In connection with ownership information, the Agreement makes clear that information requests cannot be declined merely because domestic laws or practices may treat such ownership information as a trade or other secret.

83. Before invoking this provision, a requested Party should carefully weigh the interests of the person protected by its laws with the interests of the applicant Party. In its deliberations the requested Party should also take into account the confidentiality rules of Article 8.

- 84. A Contracting Party may decline a request if the information requested is protected by the attorney-client privilege as defined in paragraph 3. However, where the equivalent privilege under the domestic law of the requested Party is narrower than the definition contained in paragraph 3 (e.g., the law of the requested Party does not recognise a privilege in tax matters, or it does not recognise a privilege in criminal tax matters) a requested Party may not decline a request unless it can base its refusal to provide the information on Article 7, paragraph 1.
- 85. Under paragraph 3 the attorney-client privilege attaches to any information that constitutes (1) "confidential communication," between (2) "a client and an attorney, solicitor or other admitted legal representative," if such communication (3) "is produced for the purposes of seeking or providing legal advice" or (4) is "produced for the purposes of use in existing or contemplated legal proceedings."
- 86. Communication is "confidential" if the client can reasonably have expected the communication to be kept secret. For instance, communications made in the presence of third parties that are neither staff nor otherwise agents of the attorney are not confidential communications. Similarly, communications made to the attorney by the client with the instruction to share them with such third parties are not confidential communications.
- 87. The communications must be between a client and an attorney, solicitor or other admitted legal representative. Thus, the attorney-client privilege applies only if the attorney, solicitor or other legal representative is admitted to practice law. Communications with persons of legal training but not admitted to practice law are not protected under the attorney-client privilege rules.
- 88. Communications between a client and an attorney, solicitor or other admitted legal representative are only privileged if, and to the extent that, the attorney, solicitor or other legal representative acts in his or her capacity as an attorney, solicitor or other legal representative. For instance, to the extent that an attorney acts as a nominee shareholder, a trustee, a settlor, a company director or under a power of attorney to represent the company in its business affairs, he can not claim the attorney-client privilege with respect to any information resulting from and relating to any such activity.
- 89. Sub-paragraph a) requires that the communications be "produced for the purposes of seeking or providing legal advice." The attorney-client privilege covers communications by both client and attorney provided the communications are produced for purposes of either seeking or providing legal advice. Because the communication must be produced for the purposes of seeking or providing legal advice, the privilege does not attach to documents or records delivered to an attorney in an attempt to protect such documents or records from disclosure. Also, information on the identity of a person, such as a director or beneficial owner of a company, is typically not covered by the privilege.

90. Sub-paragraph b) addresses the case where the attorney does not act in an advisory function but has been engaged to act as a representative in legal proceedings, both at the administrative and the judicial level. Sub-paragraph b) requires that the communications must be produced for the purposes of use in existing or contemplated legal proceedings. It covers communications both by the client and the attorney provided the communications have been produced for use in existing or contemplated legal proceedings.

# Paragraph 4

Paragraph 4 stipulates that Contracting Parties do not have to supply information the disclosure of which would be contrary to public policy (ordre public). "Public policy" and its French equivalent "ordre public" refer to information which concerns the vital interests of the Party itself. This exception can only be invoked in extreme cases. For instance, a case of public policy would arise if a tax investigation in the applicant Party were motivated by political or racial persecution. Reasons of public policy might also be invoked where the information constitutes a state secret, for instance sensitive information held by secret services the disclosure of which would be contrary to the vital interests of the requested Party. Thus, issues of public policy should rarely arise in the context of requests for information that otherwise fall within the scope of this Agreement.

# Paragraph 5

92. Paragraph 5 clarifies that an information request must not be refused on the basis that the tax claim to which it relates is disputed.

# Paragraph 6

93. In the exceptional circumstances in which this issue may arise, paragraph 6 allows the requested Party to decline a request where the information requested by the applicant Party would be used to administer or enforce tax laws of the applicant Party, or any requirements connected therewith, which discriminate against nationals of the requested Party. Paragraph 6 is intended to ensure that the Agreement does not result in discrimination between nationals of the requested Party and identically placed nationals of the applicant Party. Nationals are not identically placed where an applicant state national is a resident of that state while a requested state national is not. Thus, paragraph 6 does not apply to cases where tax rules differ only on the basis of residence. The person's nationality as such should not lay the taxpayer open to any inequality of treatment. This applies both to procedural matters (differences between the safeguards or remedies available to the taxpayer, for example) and to substantive matters, such as the rate of tax applicable.

# **Article 8 (Confidentiality)**

- Party is essential to any exchange of information instrument relating to tax matters. Exchange of information for tax matters must always be coupled with stringent safeguards to ensure that the information is used only for the purposes specified in Article 1 of the Agreement. Respect for the confidentiality of information is necessary to protect the legitimate interests of taxpayers. Mutual assistance between competent authorities is only feasible if each is assured that the other will treat with proper confidence the information, which it obtains in the course of their co-operation. The Contracting Parties must have such safeguards in place. Some Contracting Parties may prefer to use the term "secret", rather than the term "confidential" in this Article. The terms are considered synonymous and interchangeable for purposes of this Article and Contracting Parties are free to use either term.
- 95. The first sentence provides that any information received pursuant to this Agreement by a Contracting Party must be treated as confidential. Information may be received by both the applicant Party and the requested Party (*see*, Article 5 paragraph 5).
- 96. The information may be disclosed only to persons and authorities involved in the assessment or collection of, the enforcement or prosecution in respect of, or the determination of appeals in relation to taxes covered by the Agreement. This means that the information may also be communicated to the taxpayer, his proxy or to a witness. The Agreement only permits but does not require disclosure of the information to the taxpayer. In fact, there may be cases in which information is given in confidence to the requested Party and the source of the information may have a legitimate interest in not disclosing it to the taxpayer. The competent authorities concerned should discuss such cases with a view to finding a mutually acceptable mechanism for addressing them. The competent authorities of the applicant Party need no authorisation, consent or other form of approval for the provision of the information received to any of the persons or authorities identified. The references to "public court proceedings" and to "judicial decisions" in this paragraph extend to include proceedings and decisions which, while not formally being "judicial", are of a similar character. An example would be an administrative tribunal reaching decisions on tax matters that may be binding or may be appealed to a court or a further tribunal.
- 97. The third sentence precludes disclosure by the applicant Party of the information to a third Party unless express written consent is given by the Contracting Party that supplied the information. The request for consent to pass on the information to a third party is not to be considered as a normal request for information for the purposes of this Agreement.

#### Article 9 (Costs)

- 98. Article 9 allows the Contracting Parties to agree upon rules regarding the costs of obtaining and providing information in response to a request. In general, costs that would be incurred in the ordinary course of administering the domestic tax laws of the requested State would normally be expected to be borne by the requested State when such costs are incurred for purposes of responding to a request for information. Such costs would normally cover routine tasks such as obtaining and providing copies of documents.
- 99. Flexibility is likely to be required in determining the incidence of costs to take into account factors such as the likely flow of information requests between the Contracting Parties, whether both Parties have income tax administrations, the capacity of each Party to obtain and provide information, and the volume of information involved. A variety of methods may be used to allocate costs between the Contracting Parties. For example, a determination of which Party will bear the costs could be agreed to on a case by case base. Alternatively, the competent authorities may wish to establish a scale of fees for the

processing of requests that would take into account the amount of work involved in responding to a request. The Agreement allows for the Contracting Parties or the competent authorities, if so delegated, to agree upon the rules, because it is difficult to take into account the particular circumstances of each Party.

# **Article 10 (Implementing Legislation)**

100. Article 10 establishes the requirement for Contracting Parties to enact any legislation necessary to comply with the terms of the Agreement. Article 10 obliges the Contracting Parties to enact any necessary legislation with effect as of the date specified in Article 15. Implicitly, Article 10 also obliges Contracting Parties to refrain from introducing any new legislation contrary to their obligations under this Agreement.

# **Article 11 (Language)**

101. Article 11 provides the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties with the flexibility to agree on the language(s) that will be used in making and responding to requests, with English and French as options where no other language is chosen. This article may not be necessary in the bilateral context.

# **Article 12 (Other International Agreements or Arrangements)**

102. Article 12 is intended to ensure that the applicant Party is able to use the international instrument it deems most appropriate for obtaining the necessary information. This article may not be required in the bilateral context.

# **Article 13 (Mutual Agreement Procedure)**

# Paragraph 1

- 103. This Article institutes a mutual agreement procedure for resolving difficulties arising out of the implementation or interpretation of the Agreement. Under this provision, the competent authorities, within their powers under domestic law, can complete or clarify the meaning of a term in order to obviate any difficulty.
- 104. Mutual agreements resolving general difficulties of interpretation or application are binding on administrations as long as the competent authorities do not agree to modify or rescind the mutual agreement.

# Paragraph 2

Paragraph 2 identifies other specific types of agreements that may be reached between competent authorities, in addition to those referred to in paragraph 1.

106. Paragraph 3 determines how the competent authorities may consult for the purposes of reaching a mutual agreement. It provides that the competent authorities may communicate with each other directly. Thus, it would not be necessary to go through diplomatic channels. The competent authorities may communicate with each other by letter, facsimile transmission, telephone, direct meetings, or any other convenient means for purposes of reaching a mutual agreement.

# Paragraph 4

107. Paragraph 4 of the multilateral version clarifies that agreements reached between the competent authorities of two or more Contracting Parties would not in any way bind the competent authorities of Contracting Parties that were not parties to the particular agreement. The result is self-evident in the bilateral context and no corresponding provision has been included.

# Paragraph 5

108. Paragraph 5 provides that the Contracting Parties may agree to other forms of dispute resolution. For instance, Contracting Parties may stipulate that under certain circumstances, e.g., the failure of resolving a matter through a mutual agreement procedure, a matter may be referred to arbitration.

# **Article 14 (Depositary's Functions)**

109. Article 14 of the multilateral version discusses the functions of the depositary. There is no corresponding provision in the bilateral context.

# **Article 15 (Entry into Force)**

#### Paragraph 1

110. Paragraph 1 of the bilateral version contains standard language used in bilateral treaties. The provision is similar to Article 29, paragraph 1 of the OECD Model Convention on Income and on Capital.

# Paragraph 2

111. Paragraph 2 of the multilateral version provides that the Agreement will enter into force only between those Contracting Parties that have mutually stated their intention to be bound vis-à-vis the other Contracting Party. There is no corresponding provision in the bilateral context.

# Paragraph 3

Paragraph 3 differentiates between exchange of information in criminal tax matters and exchange of information in all other tax matters. With regard to criminal tax matters the Agreement will enter into force on January 1, 2004. Of course, where Contracting Parties already have in place a mechanism (e.g., a mutual legal assistance treaty) that allows information exchange on criminal tax matters consistent with the standard described in this Agreement, the January 1, 2004 date would not be relevant. See Article 12 of the Agreement and paragraph 5 of the introduction. With regard to all other matters the Agreement will enter into force on January 1, 2006. The multilateral version also provides a special rule for parties that subsequently want to make use of the Agreement. In such a case the Agreement will come into force on the

30<sup>th</sup> day after deposit of both instruments. Consistent with paragraph 2, the Agreement enters into force only between two Contracting Parties that mutually indicate their desire to be bound vis-à-vis another Contracting Party. Thus, both parties must deposit an instrument unless one of the parties has already indicated its desire to be bound vis-à-vis the other party in an earlier instrument. The 30-day period commences when both instruments have been deposited.

# Paragraph 4

- Paragraph 4 contains the rules on the effective dates of the Agreement. The rules are identical for both the multilateral and the bilateral version. Contracting Parties are free to agree on an earlier effective date.
- 114. The rules of paragraph 4 do not preclude an applicant Party from requesting information that precedes the effective date of the Agreement provided it relates to a taxable period or chargeable event following the effective date. A requested Party, however, is not in violation of this Agreement if it is unable to obtain information predating the effective date of the Agreement on the grounds that the information was not required to be maintained at the time and is not available at the time of the request.

# **Article 16 (Termination)**

- 115. Paragraphs 1 and 2 address issues concerning termination. The fact that the multilateral version speaks of "termination" rather than denunciation reflects the nature of the multilateral version as more of a bundle of identical bilateral treaties rather than a "true" multilateral agreement.
- 116. Paragraph 3 ensures that the obligations created under Article 8 survive the termination of the Agreement.